



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	4702	Name	No name	Finney			
Method		Survey						
Da	te							
		elements of th	ne full response suc	th as formatting and	cil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultatic images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk			
Su	rvey Respor	nse:						
1.	Do you agree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	s out for Epping Forest District?			
	Strongly dis	agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:							
	over crowde	ed and traffi	c through Lough	ton moves at snai	n Loughton. It will blight our lives. The underground is pace at the present and the district council wants a garden village as many other district councils do?			
2.	Strongly dis	agree	verall vision that ce in Question 2:	the Draft Plan sets	s out for Epping Forest District?			
	The council voted them			at is best return f	for themselves, and not for what the *illegible* who			
3.	, ,	•	roposals for deve ce in Question 3:	lopment around Ha	arlow?			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4702 Name No name Finney





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Whilst I agree with the principle of shops with living accommodation above, what type of shops are you talking about? All that we are getting in Loughton are ever more barbers and estate agents.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

How is it possible to agree or disagree to a question on work being undertaken to identify specific sites for allocation. So we have no idea to where the sites would be allocated or what they would be used for.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Car parking in Loughton is a nightmare, so the council wants to bring in more cars and proposed building on our existing car parks. Who ever thought this one up must be a cyclist. The flawed plans have not taken into

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4702 Name No name Finney





account of the needs of your rate payers. Any outline plans always seemed to be disregarded by developers i.e. Debden Broadway.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The delivery of infrastructure must be in place before any building of homes

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Let's hope the council will work for the rate payers who put them there in the first place.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4702 Name No name Finney