



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

| Sta | keholder ID | 1490 | Name | Emily | Mitchell | | |
|--------|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| Method | | Survey | | | | | |
| Dat | te | | | | | | |
| | | elements of the | full response suc | h as formatting a | ncil's database of responses to the Drad images may not appear accurately. Since g Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingfores | Should you wish to review | |
| Su | rvey Respor | nse: | | | | | |
| 1. | Do you agree | e with the ove | rall vision that | the Draft Plan s | ts out for Epping Forest District? | | |
| | Disagree | | | | | | |
| | Please explain your choice in Question 1: | | | | | | |
| | affect any f | uture plans to ur house via c | sell that we n | nay have. It will | on we bought the house) will be a also affect the area and commun d and any further development w | ity open spaces. | |
| 2. | Disagree | | | the Draft Plan so | ts out for Epping Forest District? | | |
| | Please expla | iin your choice | e in Question 2: | | | | |
| 3. | Disagree | · | | opment around | Harlow? | | |
| | Please expla | in your choice | e in Question 3: | | | | |

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1490 Name Emily Mitchell





| 4. | Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Epping? | | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | | |
| | Buckhurst Hill? | | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | | |
| | Loughton Broadway? | | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | | |
| | Chipping Ongar? | | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | | |
| | Loughton High Road? | | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | | |
| | Waltham Abbey? | | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | | |
| | Please explain your choice in Question 4: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| _ | Decree with the account for a constant decree 10 | | | | | | |
| 5. | Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? | | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | | |
| | Please explain your choice in Question 5: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1490

Name Emily

Mitchell





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

As previously mentioned the areas indentifed for possible development surround our house. This will obstruct the current view we have over the communal green spaces lowering the price of our house and having an affect on our way of living. The open spaces in Chigwell and the Limes farm area are frequently used by the community and the development of these would badly affect the area and the community feel as a whole. The aspect of our house would be changed drastically affecting any future plans to sell our house and the price we may achieve. The roads into the limes farm area and the junction onto Fencepiece road are already congested which would only be made worse with the development of new homes. The local infrastructure could not support any new development with schools and nursery places in the area along with access to GP service already lacking.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1490

Name Emily

Mitchell





Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1490