



## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	4668	Name	Steve	Corrigan	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to th d images may not appear accurat g Policy team: <u>Idfconsult@epping</u>	ely. Should you wish to review
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Distric	ct?
	Agree					
	Please expla	ain your choic	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Agree		erall vision that se in Question 2:	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Distric	t?
3.	Agree		oposals for devel	opment around	Harlow?	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4668 Name Steve Corrigan



4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...



	Epping?
	Buckhurst Hill?
	Loughton Broadway?
	Chipping Ongar?
	Loughton High Road?
	Waltham Abbey?
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
_	De very agree with the green collection of the service of the serv
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Agree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4668

Name Steve

Corrigan





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

I think if more houses are being proposed I think that North Weald station should re-open.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

I agree with the proposed residential site at Thornwood and the numbers of proposed houses as it is a natural progression from the existing settlement and will make Thornwood more self-sustaining.

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Corrigan

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4668 Name Steve





	Agree
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Steve

Stakeholder ID 4668

Corrigan