

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4776 Name Christine Ovens

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

ideas are good but the green belt needs to be protected at all cost. All around this area building is extensive and nay car parks are small and inadequate for people wanting ot use their own transport which is often necessary

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

do not know area

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4776

Name Christine

Ovens

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Loughton car park is essential for the library and also for the high road shops. There are little enough places to park in Loughton. Buckhurst Hill has the same problem - little enough parking resulting in people going elsewhere and not using the area

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

At present local employment sites do not have sufficient parking areas and green belt land should not be used under any circumstances. Oakwood Hill is an example of the lack of sufficient parking for businesses, the length of the road being taken up by people commuting to London

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

**More housing on this estate would escalate an already dire situation at peak times as there is only one road on/off this estate. All roads around this area are 'nose to tail' for at least an hour at peak times with no alternatives for anyone trying to get an early appointment. Access for emergency services and a growing need for adequate doctors, hospitals and public transport. The fields adjoining the health and wellbeing for local inhabitants**

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

No opinion

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

As I understand this the evidence was gathered several years ago and is out of date with present building, road usage and infrastructure.

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Unless you have been personally involved with the drafting of this plan you would need to spend some hours in the library working with a copy of the draft or alternatively spending your time on the internet doing the same in order to make any comments, even then it is not easy to follow. Most of the maps have no reference points that can be identified. I believe many people will not bother to complete the questionnaire owing to the time and effort involved and many more have no idea this plan and questionnaire is even available on which to comment

---