

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	4741	Name	В	Stevenson
Method	Survey			
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

No - the Green Belt is not protected and this should be sacrosanct for future generations it cleans the air we breathe and will be the start of the demise of the Green Belt. The Green Belt is our lungs.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

New housing should be concentrated in the towns in the district where the infrastructure is already in place. The Green Belt should never be built on at all.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Development around Harlow would be less invasive and infrastructure is already planned for access on to **Redacted....** schools etc. already in place. There should be no erosion of the Green Belt.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping? No Buckhurst Hill? Yes Loughton Broadway? No Chipping Ongar? Yes Loughton High Road? No Waltham Abbey? No Please explain your choice in Question 4:

The local plan would support local primary shopping areas by building housing in areas with primary shopping areas already in place. EFDCs plan undermines local shopping areas already working well.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

No under no circumstances should the Green Belt be built on at all. Future generations will need the Green Belt for exercise and family relaxation especially with young children. Also the Green Belt helps to clean the air we breathe and is vital for people with lung conditions.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4741 Name B Stevenson





 Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

The amount of housing proposed for Theydon Bois is extremely large and roads, schools etc. would not be able to cope. It is proposed to increase the size of the village by some 23% and the sites are only in the plan having been out forward by **Redacted...** to make money. The Green Belt must not be touched. Parking anywhere near shops, station, restaurants, cafes is already very very difficult almost impossible during the day - just possible in the evening.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Not able to clearly see exactly what provision is being proposed. Do no encroach on Green Belt land future generations will badly need it as relaxation. What I can see is not thought out properly by **Redacted....**. No I do not agree.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The need for housing should be concentrated further North of the country. Because housing is needed this is not a legal reason or good reason to encroach on the green Belt. All Green Belt boundaries should be left intact forever being unable to exercise in clean air will only put pressure on the NHS.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

There are no clear policies re Green Belt and this is a very important failing of these plans. Also the number of dwellings proposed will destroy Theydon Bois and this is a great failing. Leave the Green Belt alone for out children, grand children and future generations. Where are the policies for the Green Belt for EFDC?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)