| Name: | Mr Martin Eldred, land owner of sites NWB.R1 and NWB.T1, (19LAD0034). |
|----------------|--|
| If you wish to | Your representation on the Main Modifications and/or supporting documents make more than one representation, please complete a separate Part B form for each on and clearly print your name at the top of this form. |
| (Each Main | ain Modification number and/or supporting document does your representation relate to? Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first |
| Any represe | MM1, MM2 and each Supporting Document has a reference number beginning with ED). Intation on a supporting document should clearly state (in question 6) which paragraphs of the relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main hs. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves. |
| | |

| ividamentions. Tod shodia avoid | renging comments on the supporting documents themselves. | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| MM no. MM11 | Supporting document reference | | |
| 5. Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document : (Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms) | | | |
| a) Is Legally compliant | Yes X No | | |
| b) Sound | Yes X No | | |
| If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail | | | |
| Positively prepared | Effective | | |
| Justified Cons | sistent with national policy | | |
| 6. Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments. | | | |
| | Cable 2.3 which demonstrate that the Local Plan allocates sufficient sites including an appropriate buffer to provide for choice and flexibility in | | |
| | (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary) | | |

| supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. |
|--|
| N/A |
| N/A |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary) |
| |
| |
| 8. Have you attached any documents with this representation which specifically relate to an MM or supporting document? |
| Yes X No |
| |
| Signature: Date 23-09-21 |

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or