



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stak	eholder ID	2659	Name	Toby	Kinsey		
Meth	nod	Survey					
Date	)						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting a	nd images may not appe	conses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ear accurately. Should you wish to review sult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Sur	vey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Fo	rest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	all the extra	a people wit		aded existing in		cation how we are going to deal with sort of housing does Epping need as	
	Do you agre Strongly dis		verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Fo	rest District?	
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:				
			n of Epping. Beti a lot better plac			borders of the town. More expansion	
	Do you agre Disagree	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	•	ain vour choid	ce in Question 3:				
	•	•	shouldn't sacrifi	ice green belt l	and.		


Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	Yes
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Lack of detail in plan about how they will be developed. Location of many sites in areas of limited infrastructure.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Absolutely no detail. All very vague. We need to know what the infrastructure will be to support the scale of development. You cannot make the judgement on what is proposed without it. This is one of the biggest failings of the plan!

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)