



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID Method		2959	Name	terry	Dolan		
		Survey					
Dat	te						
		elements of th	ie full response suc	ch as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Loc d images may not appear accurately. Should g Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.go	you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Strongly disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	To even cor	nsider buildi	ng on the Green	Belt is sacralige			
2.	3			the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?		
Str	ongly disagre	eRedac	ted				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:				
	I do not bel	ieve there is	justification fo	r 360 new home	s on Green Belt.		
3.	Do you agre Strongly dis	•	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	0 3	·	ce in Question 3:				
	•	•			ny mind, to encroach on Green Belt.		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2959 Name terry Dolan





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	Yes					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	Yes					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	Yes					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	Yes					
	Loughton High Road?					
	Yes					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	Yes					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
Sh	opping areas are fair game for developmentRedacted					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	Strongly disagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					
	Unsustainable.					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2959

Name terry

Dolan





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Green Belt issue again.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2959 Name terry Dolan





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Ambiguous

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I have to use the Central Line and believe it's at capacity. The plan once again seem ambiguous.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Generally the plan is hopeful where no hope is plausible and in many areas ambiguous. The Green Belt should, in my opinion be sacred.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2959 Name terry Dolan