



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	3064	Name	Dawn and Mark Bloomfield		
Method		Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of the fu	ull response suc	ation from the Council's database of responses to the as formatting and images may not appear accu- contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@epg	urately. Should you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the overa	all vision that	the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest Dis	strict?	
	Strongly dis	sagree				
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:					
	facilities eg	. school places,	doctors, der	which increases the size of the Village by tist, shops. The Street is already used as the it was not intended.		
2.	Do you agre	e with the overa	all vision that	the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest Dis	strict?	
	Please expla	ain your choice i	n Question 2:			
3.	, ,		osals for deve	lopment around Harlow?		
	Strongly dis	sagree				
	Please expla	ain your choice i	n Question 3:			
				fficient facilities and road network. Why c adopted instead of the controversial J7a f		

additional M11 access route via Church Langley be reinstated. There is only one route into and out of Church

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3064 Name Dawn and Mark Bloomfield

Langley at present. This is a bottleneck.





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No opinion Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					
	No sites of employment proposed for Sheering.					

Stakeholder ID 3064 Name Dawn and Mark Bloomfield





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3064 Name Dawn and Mark Bloomfield



Inadequate plans for Sheering.



Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Overdevelopment of Sheering Village. The current infrastructure cannot support additional families- the school is at capacity, there are regular power cuts and the water pressure is inadequate. Broadband speeds are terrible. There is constant traffic along the Street and adding extra houses will only increase congestion. Pedestrian safety needs to be considered in the form of traffic calming measures and an additional crossing.

7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
	Strongly disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3064 Name Dawn and Mark Bloomfield