



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder	ID 1969	Name	David	hunt			
Method	Survey						
Date							
	me elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting ar	nd images may not ap	sponses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation pear accurately. Should you wish to review nsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Survey Res	ponse:						
1. Do you a	gree with the o	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ets out for Epping F	orest District?		
Strongly	disagree						
Please e	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	ft plan does not infrastructure	necessary bene	fit the local pop	oulation and there	is no detail relating to impact on the		
2. Do you a	•	verall vision that	the Draft Plan so	ets out for Epping F	orest District?		
Please e	xplain your choi	ce in Question 2:					
		nd means that it arlow which app			option would be to utilise brown		
•		roposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?			
	disagree						
	. ,	ce in Question 3:					
The pro	posal in questio	n is not compati	ble with the sta	ted aims of this pla	an		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in	
----	---	--

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The new sites are in hoe lane, which is a narrow country road. This will increase heavy traffic movements through the village and as such will require controls to be placed.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

EFDC appears to have ignored brownfield and derelict sites in favour of destruction of the green belt. This proposal will additional increase pressure on the current infrastructure.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The infrastructure assessment needs to be comprehensive in nature ensuring all utilities are addressed

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The local plan does not justify the need to build on green belt land. With regards to this proposal, there will be a negative impact to the environment, nature and the character of the village and landscape.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

There appears to have been inadequate research regarding production of this plan, by which I mean there has been a lack of information presented to the nazeing community prior to this proposal. The infrastructure, in particular the roads and public transport are not in anyway suitable to support the increase in traffic that will ensue.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)