Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public		
Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	Peter	
Last Name	Aylmer	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		

Stakeholder Reference: Document Reference:

Part A

E-mail Address

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 21

Supporting document reference: F. Epping Forest District Council Green Infrastructure Strategy (ED124A-G/ EB159A-G)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Planto be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified, Consistent with national policy

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I object to the Change of Green belt status. It is unproven as to the need for yet more green belt land to be lost to housing, not least with the Gilston and Junction 7 developments well advanced. There is long established policy by EFDC to retain green belt land as green breaks between urbans areas and especially to preserve the definition of our historic villages. The gifted town planner Sir Frederick Gibberd had a clear sense of the need to retain visual breaks within and surrounding the new town of Harlow - this principle is now being thrown out of the window.

Significant green belt space must be retained to protect the historic nature of Sheering as a separate village. The loss of natural habitat and valuable countryside is also of real importance. This land has significant natural beauty and wildlife habitats with water courses from the surrounding higher ground draining into Pincey Brook where the lower lying ground is a flood plain. Many species of wildlife, many protected, can regularly be seen here. Development of the land will damage the delicate ecosystems that support this wildlife.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Retention of green belt and not being daft enough to build on the flood plain of Pincey Brook

Signature: Peter Aylmer Date: 07/09/2021