

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1428	Name	Alan	Bates	Convit House Pathology
Method	Survey				
Date		_			

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Most of the settlements earmarked for development are dormitory towns whose residents work in London. The transport infrastructure is already overburdened and inadequate. Many of the inhabitants of these new houses will wish to travel to London, but the system has already reached capacity. New transport links should be put in place before development begins. Why do I choose to live in Essex and commute for 3 hours a day? To enjoy a semi-rural existence. Ruin this and I'll go elsewhere (which may be what you want).

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

As above. The Central Line is at capacity. I already have no chance of getting on a train home if I leave London between 16:15 and 18:30. How and when are these 1,000s of people going to travel?

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I almost never visit Harlow.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Alan

Bates





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...
 Epping?
 Yes
 Buckhurst Hill?
 Yes
 Loughton Broadway?
 Yes
 Chipping Ongar?
 Yes
 Loughton High Road?
 Yes
 Waltham Abbey?
 No opinion
 Please explain your choice in Question 4:
 These proposal seem moderate and in character. It's good to be able to shop locally.
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

SR0153 - A housing Estate here would abut the Essex way, deprive local dog walkers of a frequently-used area and spoil to view to the South. SR0587 - OK, it's only derelict industrial sites at present. SR0029 - Seriously? And where are hundreds of commuters going to park after you have built on the station car park? In previously quiet local streets presumably. SR0071 - a peaceful oasis of green at present; an attractive spot that will be probably ruined for a quick profit. SR0113B - inadequate access and drainage. Much used by local people at present for recreation. SR069(33) - Again, much used by dog walkers. Plenty of wildlife. Ironically, poor

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1428 Name Alan Bates





transport links, despite being next to a huge motorway. SR0208 - Another nice peaceful spot, much used by local people. Very attractive with many mature trees.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood: Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots: Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1428 Name Alan Bates





7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There are no specific plans to improve public transport links, are there? Rather than "indoor sports" venues (snooker, darts?) I'd like to keep the outdoor space to enjoy.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Bates