



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	4835	Name	E W	Prichard	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
	This documer 2016. Some	elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting a	uncil's database of responses nd images may not appear acc ing Policy team: Idfconsult@ep	to the Draft Local Plan Consultation curately. Should you wish to review opingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Agree		verall vision that ce in Question 1:	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest D	istrict?
2.	Disagree			the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest D	istrict?
	•	•	ce in Question 2: spaces within th	ne town		
3.	No opinion	•	oposals for devel	opment around	Harlow?	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4835 Name E W Prichard





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in								
	Epping?								
	Yes								
	Buckhurst Hill?								
	Yes								
	Loughton Broadway?								
	Yes Chipping Ongar? Loughton High Road?								
	No Waltham Abbey?								
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:								
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?								
	Agree								
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:								
	Essential to provide employment locally								
6.	Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?								
	Epping (Draft Policy P 1):								
	Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:								
	Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)								
	Yes								

If the car parks are to be built on but retaining the car park facility after the developments are complete, what happens to the parking during the construction period? Is the developer expected to fund the new parking areas whether at ground or below ground level? To make any development at the car parks viable it will be necessary to have multi storey housing units to cover the cost. The Council may have to reconsider their height limitations. The average cost of providing the public parking will at the time of construction, be

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4835 Name E W Prichard

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:





between £30,000 and £40,000 per parking bay and this will have to be reflected in the construction costs of each residential unit and therefore the sale of the properties.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It is essential to provide these services before anything is built as the present facilities cannot cope now.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4835 Name E W Prichard