

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2753	Name	PETER	Messum
Method	Survey			
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

the plans assume the necessity to provide more houses, this assumes more people must be housed.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

again this assumes more houses have to be provided & ignores the effects of people moving out of the uk.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3: see previous reasons

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Messum





- Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... 4. Epping? No opinion **Buckhurst Hill?** No opinion Loughton Broadway? Yes Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4: loughton has the largest population & is poorest served for shops
- Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Messum





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: See previous comments Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: See previous comments Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: See previous comments Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: See previous comments Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: See previous comments North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: See previous comments Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: See previous comments Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: See previous comments Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2753

Name PETER

Messum





See previous comments

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

See previous comments

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

See previous comments

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

See previous comments

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

See previous comments

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2753 Name PETER Messum