

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2767	Name	Jacky	Chatterjee
Method	Survey			
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

No details on the infrastructure required to support the number of houses and increased population proposed -parking places, school places, GP surgeries etc

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

As long as the locations within existing settlements have the necessary infrastructure.

- 3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?
 - No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Insufficient knowledge of the Harlow area

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2767

Chatterjee





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping?
 No opinion Buckhurst Hill?
 Yes Loughton Broadway?
 Yes Chipping Ongar?
 No opinion Loughton High Road?
 Yes Waltham Abbey?
 Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4:
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Chatterjee





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Removal of the parking spaces at the station will put even more pressure on surrounding roads during the daytime. Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2767

Name Jacky





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Disagree
 Please explain your choice in Question 7:
 Insufficient infrastructure proposed
- _____
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Chatterjee