



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2517	Name	John	McGowan
Method	Survey	_		
Date				
This docume	nt has been created	l using information	from the Council's	database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The proposal to build 210 homes on the Lymes Farm site is ridiculous because the area is to small and it is a green space which is vital to the community. Furthermore the playing field which is regularly used will be built on and there is already a large expansion of houses being built at the far end of Lymes Farm in Hainault. This proposal will turn a poor area into a sprawling slum like no go area as it already has its problems. Furthermore when Lymes Farm was built it came with a legal covenant which protected these green spaces. There is also a problem with transport ie only one road in and out which is frequently congested and will cause gridlock. Hainault station cannot run anymore underground trains to and from central London during the rush hour and is already over congested which will make it even worse. Doctors surgeries are also over subscribed together with nursery and school places at the primary school. In other words there is insignificant infrastructure for this proposed development. Effectively building lots of affordable housing in an area like this will create a serious large problem area in an area of predominantly good housing which has happened elsewhere and failed miserably. Social housing if needed should be built in small clumps around Chigwell not in a great big council style estate.

2.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
	Disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





3.	Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow? (blank) Please explain your choice in Question 3:
4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in Epping? No opinion Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? (blank) Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

In Chigwell there is no proposed increase in infrastructure particularly for Lymes Farm and Chigwell nurseries.

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)