



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stal	keholder ID	4750	Name	Jonathan	Hawes	
Method		Survey				
Dat	е		_			
		elements of the fu	II response sud	ch as formatting and i		the Draft Local Plan Consultation rately. Should you wish to review ngforestdc.gov.uk
Sur	vey Respor	nse:				
1.	Do you agree	e with the overa	II vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest Dist	rict?
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:					
	includes the		y Loughton			Debden station site currently bs and providing a service
	Do you agree		II vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest Dist	rict?
	Please expla	in your choice ir	Question 2:			
	Basically the	e plans propose	to build on	every last bit of gre	en land in the Debden a	rea.
2	Do you agra	o with the prope	cals for dovo	lanmont around Ha	low?	
	Strongly agr		sais for deve	lopment around Hai	iow:	
		ee ain your choice ir	Nucstion 3:			
	•	,		port additional hou	sina	
	i idi iow ilas	more space and	iana to sup	por caddictional flou	mig	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4750 Name Jonathan Hawes





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

If your are looking to retain & encourage business why is Loughton self drive premises included in your proposed development area. Risking jobs for local people and forced relocation for a local company of 22 years

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The fact that the site of Loughton Self Drive Ltd has been put forward as a potential site for housing. Totally contradicts everything you have stated above. The company has been providing a service to the community for over 22 years. Not only servicing retail customers but a large proportion of businesses located locally, primarily in Langston Road and Oakwood Hill industrial estates. A supplier to the Bank of England and the council itself, as well as supporting local community groups and charities Loughton Self Drive is an existing employment site that employs local people and the fact the EFDC has totally ignored the impact the proposed development would have on local business is a disgrace. There is no reason we could not remain in site and development of the station car park could be an option on its own.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Disagree with the Jessel Green proposed site as it is one of the few green spaces left on the Desden Estate. As a dog walker, I regularly use the green and Sunday morning is 'great dog club meet'. The green is also used as a fun day location and a great place for the kids especially in the snow. The vision 'to protect and enhance green spaces whilst encouraging the growth of local jobs and businesses'. Seems very different from the proposed plans. Additionally, the promise the council will seek to retain and where necessary enhance existing sites and premises. proposals for the redevelopment, renewal or extension of existing employment sites and premises for their designated use will be encouraged' would seem to contradict proposed plans to oust a local business from existing premises! No concrete plans for improvement of health or schools.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4750 Name Jonathan Hawes





Consultation feedback to EFDC showed top 3 priorities over next 20 years. Protecting the greenbelt, which I am fully behind. Encouraging the growth of local jobs and businesses - surely Loughton Self Drive comes within this bracket. Providing facilities for the community.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4750 Name Jonathan Hawes