



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2409	Name	Philip	Simmance
Method	Survey	_		
Date				
		•		database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation

2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

- 1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
 - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I feel that the plan contravenes the spirit of the green belt protection and has not been properly thought through.

- 2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
 - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The infrastructure currently in Theydon Bois (parking for shops and the station, school places and so on) is barely enough for the current residents. The additional housing proposed for Theydon Bois would place an intolerable burden on this infrastructure.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I believe that Harlow is better-equipped to handle the additional residents than smaller locations such as Theydon Bois.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2409

Name Philip

Simmance





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in				
	Epping?				
	Yes				
	Buckhurst Hill?				
	No opinion				
	Loughton Broadway?				
	No opinion				
	Chipping Ongar?				
	No opinion				
	Loughton High Road?				
	Yes				
	Waltham Abbey?				
	No opinion				
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:				
5.	Oo you agree with the proposals for new employment development?				
	Strongly disagree				

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I do not believe that the proposals for new employment development is sufficiently robust for Theydon Bois. In reality all new dwellings are likely to be taken by employees of big London firms or wealthy business owners.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2409 Name Philip Simmance





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Developing on the other side of the railway track will inevitably lead to further development. Once this longestablished boundary has been breached then it will be incredibly hard to limit further building. This will also put unsustainable strain on the roads, parking and other infrastructure around the area. The use of these

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Philip

Simmance





areas will be harmful for local wildlife, local residents and the environment. Once these precious green areas are lost once they are lost forever.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The plan does not appear to have any detailed or concrete plans for Theydon Bois so is unlikely to alleviate existing issues or new ones which will inevitably flow from these developments.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2409 Name Philip Simmance