

Stakeholder Reference:
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Mr		
First Name	FATIH		
Last Name	DULGER		
Job Title (where relevant)			
Organisation (where relevant)			
Address			
Post Code			
Telephone Number			
E-mail Address			

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 38

Supporting document reference: D. Epping Forest Interim Air Pollution Mitigation Strategy, December 2020 (ED126/ EB212)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

- It will not prevent prospective damage to Special Area for Conservation,
- interim air pollution mitigation strategy is rather vague and not answer to certain aspect of air pollution and contradictory,
- It does not cover points covered by court judgment.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

- the planning has to be consistent, while trying to build more residential site overall council actions contradicting this clean air strategy. On the apps like nextdoor residents are unhappy complaining more and more about high rising new flats and more people crammed into the town so more traffic more queues at doctors, school etc. how this can be justified with your mitigation strategy ?. why you are inflicting residents to think about moving elsewhere while they were enjoying life in the district.
- Local residents should not be punished.
- maybe parking cost in the area could be increased so this may deter more cars driving into the area.

Signature: FATİH DULGER Date:
23/09/2021