

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2928 Name Colin Phillips

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Draft plans are not necessary for the local people or the future of Nazeing and the next generation. I can see the plans contains considerable details on the buildings but NO information on about infrastructure or funding to support local housing growth.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Nazeing cannot cope with anymore homes at such an extreme to this development. Why not use derelict or brown fields instead of green belt ?? Allocate increase in Harlow which appears to wish to expand ?

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

This is green belt also.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2928

Name Colin

Phillips

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Traffic delays which is already a big problem in the Abbey as there's not many routes in and out of the area.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

How many local work in the area ? Most workers travel into Nazeing to work. The village would never cope with all those heavy good vehicles on those narrow country lanes.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

You need to address the major infrastructure, poor lightings, sewers, rainwater run off, road safety, lack of public transportation. Traffic infrastructure and weight of traffic coming into the village daily.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Assessments to ensure that upgrading of sewage, drainage, flooding prevention and our water supply needs to be carried out first.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Threat to our wildlife and destruction of land currently used to produce food.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

The deterioration of public transport has resulted in more cars which in turn has resulted in increased traffic and congestion not to mention pollution.
