

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

|                |      |      |      |   |
|----------------|------|------|------|---|
| Stakeholder ID | 3007 | Name | Jean | McAlpin (on behalf of Coffee Mates Ladies Club) |
|----------------|------|------|------|---|

|        |        |
|--------|--------|
| Method | Survey |
|--------|--------|

|      |
|------|
| Date |
|------|

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Should not build on Green Belt. Waltham Abbey is a small district centre with a tourist historic nature. 800 homes is too many and would change the ethos of Waltham Abbey.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Waltham Abbey has a history of flooding. By building more houses this would take away land drainage and could cause problems.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

The new homes should be pleasing to look at and in character with Waltham Abbey. The Community Centre is used by over 500 people a week and is a hub for many clubs and activities. There is not another facility like this in Waltham Abbey and this should not be dismissed.

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

|                |      |      |      |   |
|----------------|------|------|------|---|
| Stakeholder ID | 3007 | Name | Jean | McAlpin (on behalf of Coffee Mates Ladies Club) |
|----------------|------|------|------|---|

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Sun Street is the main centre and houses should not be build on the car park as it will deter people from visiting if they cannot park.

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

If there are 800 new homes there will have to be more employment.

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Waltham Abbey gets gridlocked with traffic if there is a problem on the M25. 800 homes is too many. The Fire Station should be kept as it would be dangerous if we have more homes with no local protection in case of accidents or fire.

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**Only two country roads in and out of Waltham Abbey and the extra traffic created by 800 new homes would cause more congestion.**

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

**We trust that there will be an open approach to any Appraisal that is commissioned.**

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)