



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1681	Name	Katherine	Barlow	
Method		Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting and i	il's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultati mages may not appear accurately. Should you wish to revie Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:			
					g of London, it's charm is the green spaces and area may gentrify London but will destroy Epping.	
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	in your choic	ce in Question 2:			
			e being persuade al gains are stror		overnment to house London over-spill and will build	
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for devel	lopment around Ha	rlow?	
	Strongly dis	•				
	•	•	ce in Question 3:			
	I think the a	area is as de	veloped as it nee	eds to be.		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1681 Name Katherine Barlow





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No
	Loughton Broadway?
	No
	Chipping Ongar?
	No
	Loughton High Road?
	No
	Waltham Abbey?
	No
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	We do not need any additional shopping areas.
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

More jobs just mean more people needing to live in the area leading to over development and strains on the

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Barlow

existing already overstretched infrastructure services.

Stakeholder ID 1681 Name Katherine





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Epping does not need any new development. Housing should only be built on existing sites.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1681 Name Katherine Barlow





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I believe housing will take precedent and that infrastructure will suffer as a result because it will never keep up with demand.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1681 Name Katherine Barlow