

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2693 Name Jenn Page

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2693

Name Jenn

Page

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

The lack of information to residents has been astonishing. The leaflet supposedly delivered to all residents contained very little information and no maps of sites considered for development. The consultation meeting in Lopping Hall Loughton was disorganised; the members of EFDC who were there were not well informed and had to keep referring to others to answer questions and unless questions were asked many of the public did not see the full reports. How can anyone make an informed response with such lack of information. 1 Powell Road The land proposed for development is green belt and the Local Plan does not not establish the exceptional need required to remove this status. ...Redacted... The land is next to a Nature Reserve and I have serious concerns about the effect 33 houses will have on the wildlife and environment of the Reserve. Cars connected to the houses will be 66 plus all turning into a narrow road on a sharp bend. St Just is a locally listed house, 33 modern houses will be totally out of character. Queens Road Car Park If this development were to go ahead where would current commuters, shoppers or local workers park? Shoppers from other areas would not come to Queens Road because of lack of parking there is already have evidence of this Construction traffic - effect on narrow roads leading to site Basement for parking - run off from the forest - flooding? 44 flats = at least half or double that number of cars; where would the overflow park. This could present a serious problem. Parking is currently a problem for residents and visitors. Details of the proposal is non-existent and again difficult to make reasoned comment Lower Queens Road Car Park This proposal is unfathomable. The current flats are in good condition why demolish The space for proposed flats is too small You are removing a small green space for locals Something you will not know is the community spirit in this area. Demolishing the shops and launderette will deeply sadden elderly and lonely people who use these places as somewhere to meet and chat other locals. This community spirit will not be recreated. You only have to check redevelopment in other parts of London to see this. You are blighting those who have bought businesses or leases. The stress caused by this is in itself enough to rule out the suggested building of 11 flats. None of the residents affected had previous notification of demolition of their businesses/flats nor had many received the leaflets. The effect on residents in surrounding area during construction would be great, not least having no short access to upper Queens Road. There are many elderly living in Elgar Close Buckhurst Hill is well known as the most densely populated area in West Essex bringing traffic congestion, problems with commuter parking and overloading of schools and doctors surgeries. These three proposals will make living in BH intolerable for many. The Local Plan is flawed on so many levels. I suggest that the proposals for BH are removed from the Local Plan.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Infrastructure must be in place BEFORE any proposed development in any area

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

-
9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)