



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stak	eholder ID	1496	Name	Kim	Klug-Miller	
Meth	ıod	Survey				
Date						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to the Drand images may not appear accurately. Sing Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingfores	Should you wish to review
Surv	vey Respo	nse:				
1. [Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan	ets out for Epping Forest District?	
9	Strongly ag	ree				
F	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
t s t	ransportat surgeries fu to see infra	ion to/from o	Coopersale is po g, not enough pa proved before h	or (irregular, b Arking at Eppin	he infrastructure to support the pead street lighting making it unsafe station (and very difficult to get and housing must be affordable - the	to travel at night), GP in and out of). I hope
	, ,		verall vision that	the Draft Plan	ets out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly ag					
	•	•	ce in Question 2:			
(concern is t Coopersale	the housing l allotments (being built in alr	eady crowded	us routes or park & rides must be o areas like Epping (station car park et Pitch (no parking as is in Parklan	, playing fields),
	Do you agre No opinion	e with the pr	oposals for deve	opment around	Harlow?	
	•	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Kim

Klug-Miller

Stakeholder ID 1496

1





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	Yes
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1496

Name Kim

Klug-Miller





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Building over the station car park is a ridiculous idea. The station approach is a nightmare and parking is limited as is. If anything, this plan should include a multistory car park to double the parking at the station.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Great opportunity for new housing and a second town centre - new shops and infrastructure. However, there should be better public transportation links, especially as most people will probably commute to London via the tube. Perhaps there should be a park and ride in North Weald (or the Central Line should be extended to Ongar again!).

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1496 Name Kim Klug-Miller





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

As a Coopersale resident I am shocked at this insane proposal! The allotment site has extremely poor access and will cause even worse traffic in already highly congested area. The loss of the cricket pitch and school playing fields would be a travesty. Where are the children in our village meant to play ball games? Parking is limited, transportation links are poor, there are minimal facilities, the main road is a danger to cross.

7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:					

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)