Stakeholder Reference: Document Reference:

#### Part A

### Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title First Name Last Name Job Title (where relevant) Organisation (where relevant) Address Post Code	Mr Ken Gillingham	<b>арріїсавіє</b> )
Telephone Number E-mail Address		

### Part B

#### REPRESENTATION

# To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: Appendix 6 STAP.R1

Policy: P 12 Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sheering and

Stapleford Abbotts Policies Map: Yes

Site Reference: None of the above Settlement: Stapleford Abbots

### Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Consistent with national policy

Complies with the duty to co-operate? No

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

No consultation with relevant stakeholders i.e. those villagers directly impacted for the development of 33 houses on green belt in Oak Hill Road, Stapleford Abbotts at site STAP.R1. The current plan which now includes STAP.R1 was presented on 18/12/17. 4 days prior to this on 14/12/17 STAP.R1 was added to the plan.

The original draft plan stated that the site in Stapleford Abbotts was not considered suitable as "the council does not consider there are distinct special options for locating residential development within Stapleford Abbotts"

I therefore believe the consultation in its final draft is null and void and should be restarted as I did not contest the original version, as STAP.R1 was not included. OR, STAP.R! should be removed from the final submission.

Furthermore i do not believe the council has carried out "sustainable appraisal" in that the 4 aspects of consideration for development in a green belt area have not been met.

• Under the 1st green belt purpose, the proposed development creates unrestricted sprawl of

large built up areas. In line with the rating for parcel 033.1( Kensington Park) this would at least score 3/5.

• Under the 2nd green belt purpose preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another, we would argue that this would score 5/5 as it would join the villages of Stapleford

Abbotts and Havering Atte Bower.

• Under the 3rd green belt principle assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment;

in-line with parcel 033.1, (Kensington Park) we consider the propose site to score 5/5.

• Under the 4th green belt principle to preserve the special character of historic towns, it is harder to comment as we have no local benchmark. This is however a large visible area with many houses overlooking historical views which would be removed by this development.

Under this principle I would consider this to score highly.

Finally on the third criteria for legal policy compliance Identifying and resolving significant cross- boundary issues, and demonstrating the Council has met its Duty to Cooperate: The proposed site sits on the borders of Epping Forest district council and London Borough of Havering. Access points would cause congestion on the only single lane road joining these and stakeholders have not been consulted about this. Increasing the size of the road is not an option as it would affect the historical nature of the villages. We cannot see how access and egress can be safely managed in the Stapleford Abbotts nor the Havering section of the plot, due to the sharp blind bend on the border of the two villages. For all of the above I vehemently oppose the development of a housing estate in Stapleford Abbotts.

Other areas for consideration:

- Flood issues from building on land near to a brook.
- Main drains which currently cannot manage with the existing residential capacity.
- Village school can not accommodate more pupils currently with half in portacabin accommodation.
- Concerns with increased issues with power when the village has regular power cuts.
- An already dangerous junction at Tysea Hill with impaired vision.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

There are no changes that will make this a sound and legal proposition as outlined in the section above.

# If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral part of the oral examination

# If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

It significantly impacts my environment.

# Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: KA Gillingham Date: 26/01/2018

### DISCLAIMER

This email is for the use of the intended recipients only. Any opinion or advice it contains is that of the sender and does not bind the authority in any way. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender immediately and then delete the message. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this email. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise that you carry out your own virus checks on an attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

Internet email is not a secure communication medium, and we advise that you observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Epping Forest District Council Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk