



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3099	Name	Adrian	Bradshaw
Method	Survey	_		
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

although there is some detail on the proposed builds there appears to be little or no information on the infrastructure required or to be included with the provision of new homes and jobs which is vital to be provided for those who live here. We are not implementing more power, drainage, sewerage roads or other major infrastructure details.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The green belt should not be used for housing as manufacturing decreases in the area consider that more derelict and brownfield sites become available in Harlow for housing, use these instead of more warehousing which most of Harlow seems to have become. If we build on more green belt land there will be nothing left for future generations to see. Once its gone its gone forever! we must preserve what we have of village life otherwise it will just be a concrete jungle.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3099 Name Adrian Bradshaw





3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

All the land around Harlow as described is green belt land and has already been developed to a certain extent, we cannot develop without infrastructure such as hospitals, police, doctors and road network which is already overloaded and under maintained. this just uses up more green belt land originally intended to be so no one could develop on it!

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

Nο

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

These centres are already suffering from significant overcrowding and parking as well as traffic chaos is already a problem. Unless there is a better road system in place and adequate free parking then it will just bring these centres to a standstill, its almost there now!

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The roads in Nazeing are already overloaded with traffic and heavy lorry movements the roads are not in good order or wide enough to support additional traffic, many are too narrow for heavy lorries especially Hoe Lane. New employment areas in Nazeing would only make heavy traffic worse and should be regulated strongly already. Much of the local workforce travel in from outside the village anyway.

Bradshaw

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3099 Name Adrian





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

These are Grade 1-3 green belt areas to start with and should not be built on, there are other sites that are brownfield and derelict that can be built on without encroaching on the greenbelt bits. There are already some proposals and some approved which will put the infrastructure under severe pressure if all go ahead and the need to consider flooding, sewers, and road safety become paramount. There is a significant lack of public

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3099 Name Adrian Bradshaw





transport, school being over subscribed and school parking (many side roads are already packed to full level at school times) and more traffic on already overcrowded roads especially heavy vehicle movements.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It is significantly critical that we must ensure that both drainage, sewerage and more flood protection assessment's are done before any work should it happen be carried out. We need more schools with adequate of street parking. Developers must ensure that there are sufficient infrastructure and finances in place with adequate expenditure to ensure this.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

There is no clear demonstration that the plan to build on green belt land is needed. Should use more brown field or derelict sites. there is no justification to build on Green Belt land and this contrary to National Guidance in National Planning Policy Framework. There is a clear need to protect the impact on the environment, village life, and the general character of the area.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

We need to look more closely at more brownfield and derelict and sites, discuss more with residents of Nazeing village. Not many residents are aware of what's happening especially the old as they do not own computers and there's a distinct lack of mail or advertising regarding this important local plan. We must preserve the greenbelt as it was originally planned for. Public transport is declining and will soon be non existent in the area leading to more traffic and pollution.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3099 Name Adrian Bradshaw