



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID Method		2523 Survey	Name	Matthew	Hutchinson		
Date	Э						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting and	cil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consulta images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to rev Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>		
Sur	vey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?		
	Strongly dis	sagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	The draft p	lan does not	protect areas of	Green Belt as is	required		
•	D			the Death Diese and	and the Fredrick French Districts		
			verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?		
	Strongly dis	J	in Oti 2				
	•	•	ce in Question 2:		<del></del>		
	destruction	of areas of	Green Belt, plac		of property in Theydon Bois will, apart from the ain on existing infrastructure (roads, rail links, schope with demand.	ol,	
3.	Do you agre	e with the pı	roposals for deve	opment around Ha	arlow?		
	Strongly dis	sagree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:				
_							

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2523 Name Matthew Hutchinson





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	Strongly disagree					

Once again, the plan will destroy areas of Green Belt and will place increased strain on existing infrastructure.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2523 Name Matthew Hutchinson

Please explain your choice in Question 5:





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

360 new houses will increase the size of the village by over 20%. The sites for development proposed encroach onto Green Belt land. Such an increase in housing will place inordinate strains on existing





infrastructure (education, medical support, transport links, parking and utilities), quite apart from the inevitable future environmental damage from building and construction.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

#### Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The transport links to and from Theydon are already strained with available parking being overwhelmed by commuter demand. If the Draft Local Plan were to be enacted, there would be significant further damage to the community.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2523 Name Matthew Hutchinson