| Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public |       |                                 |
|---|-------|---------------------------------|
| Personal Details  |       | Agent's Details (if applicable) |
| Title   | Mr    |                                 |
| First Name  | Ron   |                                 |
| Last Name   | Bates |                                 |
| Job Title (where relevant)  |       |                                 |
| Organisation (where relevant)                                     |       |                                 |
| Address   |       |                                 |
| Post Code   |       |                                 |
| Telephone Number  |       |                                 |

Stakeholder Reference: Document Reference:

Part A

E-mail Address

## Part B

## REPRESENTATION

## To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 21

Supporting document reference: F. Epping Forest District Council Green Infrastructure Strategy (ED124A-G/ EB159A-G)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Planto be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified, Consistent with national policy

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

none

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

this would mean joining Sheering to Harlow by destroying precious Green Belt. At the same time it would add huge traffic & environmental pressures on the area. Why not make developers work a lot more harder on developing brown field sites.

Signature: Ron Bates Date:

08/09/2021