

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2556	Name	Kelly	fennell
Method	Survey			
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Ongar is a small village which does not have the capacity to grow as propose. Yes of course we love ongar as it stands, however our dr surgery cannot cope with the amount of people in the village currently, roads are in need of repair, anti social behaviour is on the rise, nothing for the youth and you wish to close our only area for them, our sports centre.we have one secondary school which does not have the capacity for all the children currently living in ongar. At times it can take up to an hour to pass through the highest street, traffic is becoming a problem, how can we add more people/cars to this situation?

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

fennell

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

If more houses are to be built, we would need an change in... Schools, shops, car parking, pot holes in the roads, pavement, keeping the lesiure centre and increase areas for young people, policing, a police station, transport to be reliable and more prominent, traffic solutions, Dr surgery built, pharmacy where you don't wait for 30 mins to get a prescription to name but a few

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2556 Name Kelly





3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Moving traveller sites is not an option What changes to police, Dr's, roads, schools and so on are in place, at the meeting on one could share how things may change?

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping? No opinion Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion Chipping Ongar? No Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The space in ongar is so limited and expanding for homes is one issue expanding businesses is another

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2556 Name Kelly fennell





 Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

All of the above comments As such a small town we already struggle with the weight of traffic, traffic jams, high street standing still when lorry etc go through and at key times, nowhere for our young people to go and you want to close our lesiure centre which has just had to add more swimming lessons due to the weight of children. Local schools in the last 2 years now have waiting lists, are you building more schools? Only one secondary school which is totally oversubscribed and taking children from outside ongar?. A dr surgery that cannot cope with the current people living here. 2 pharmacy which need a wait time for 30 mins to get a prescription as they are so busy. No consistent transport. Parking is expensive and minimal. Antisocial behaviour increasing , burglaries increasing and no policing or police station. Retained firefighters running our fire station, a house practically burnt down a month ago, took 20 mins for anyone to attend. How can a small village cope with your proposal. So many of my questions were not answered at the discussion meeting at Budworth hall, I feel your proposal is not well thought out, not considered the impact on ongar, or actually gathered options of locals. So many people still unaware of what is actually happening

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Kelly fennell





Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

See previous comment Impact is massive, not considered sufficently

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

It will be interesting to see the feedback from this appraisal and to see the areas of focus

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

The whole plan for ongar Not realistic for the size of our village Change is clearly going to happen if we like it or not, however people driving this need to listen to the very real and very large concerns of local people, we have to live here, we have to get this right for our children growing up here

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2556 Name Kelly fennell