



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stak	eholder ID	1458	Name	Claire	Andrews	
Meth	nod	Survey				
Date)					
		elements of the	e full response suc	ch as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Lo d images may not appear accurately. Shoul g Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.g	d you wish to review
Sur	vey Respor	nse:				
1.	Do you agree	e with the ove	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	in your choice	e in Question 1:			
seric educ man	ous pressure cation!! NHS ny houses ar	e on local sch S doctors and Id people to t	nool which are a dental surgerion he area will ca	already over sub es, it is already use ridiculous is	, the ridiculous plans to build so man scribed and will add to more children o difficult to get a doctors appointments wes with trying to get appointments. uncil offices REDACTED	unable to access ent, adding this
	Strongly dis	agree		the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
			e in Question 2:	epping offices a	ad attachedii	
3.	Do you agree	e with the pro		lopment around		
	г тоазе елрта	iiii your choici	o in Question 3.			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Claire

Andrews

Stakeholder ID 1458

1





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No opinion					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No opinion					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No opinion					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
٥.	Strongly disagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					
	riease explain your choice in Question 5.					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1458 Name Claire Andrews





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1458 Name Claire Andrews





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1458 Name Claire Andrews