



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2421	Name	Fiona	Verhagen	
Me	thod	Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting ar	uncil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Cons and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to ang Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.			verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ets out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	•				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
	The infrastr	ructure is no	ot sufficient			
2.	Do you agre Strongly dis		verall vision that	the Draft Plan so	ets out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:			
	I live in Coo villiage is u			years expanded	to a huge degree, adding yet more homes to the	
3.	Do you agre	e with the p	roposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?	
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2421 Name Fiona Verhagen





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in														
	Epping? Yes Buckhurst Hill? No opinion														
									Loughton Broadway?						
									No opinion Chipping Ongar?						
	Loughton High Road?  No opinion  Waltham Abbey?  No opinion														
									Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.															
	Agree														
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:														

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2421

Name Fiona

Verhagen





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2421 Name Fiona Verhagen





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

As I said previously Coopersale has already had many new homes built over the years, at present we are having new homes built on part of the local allotments, in the local plan, the remaining allotments will also have homes built on them, these allotments are there for and used by the residents of Coopersale, where will these people have allotments if homes are built on them? The cricket pitch in Coopersale and part of the school playing field are also a proposed site, in this day and age when the government and the powers that be are saying that we all need to do more exercise, you are planning to take away the only useable green space in Coopersale for people to use for sports and outdoors recreation. The cricket pitch is not only used for cricket by the local club in summer, children play there all year, whether it be playing football, learning to ride bikes or dog walking to name a few, yes we have the fields managed by the CoL between Coopersale and Epping but there is no suitable space to play ball sports etc and for many it is too far to let their children play unsupervised. Where will the Children of Coopersale and Theydon Garnon C of E Primary School hold their sports days, their summer fetes and how much usable land will they have left for PE lesson? Once all these new homes have been built in Coopersale, where will these children attend school, the primary school in Coopersale is already oversubscribed (as are all the local schools) and if the school is extended AGAIN there will be NO outdoor play area let for the children. I believe that Coopersale has already had it's fair share of new homes especially since there are the houses being built on part of the allotments at the moment, garages that where owned by EFDC are being demolished for new homes to be built on, planning permission is at present being sort on a site on Coopersale Common for 8 new homes (which in itself if complete overdevelopment with insufficient parking provision), there is permission for 2 new homes on the corner of St Albans Road and Vicarage Road and I believe permission is or going to be sort for a site next to the Church Hall (the Parish Room) for several new homes. The transport links to and from Coopersale are far from ideal, which means the people in these new homes will all have to have their own vehicles and parking which is already an issue will only get worse. I understand there is a demand for new homes across the whole country but to extend a small village such as Coopersale to such an extent is untenable.

7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?						
	Disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:						

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2421 Name Fiona Verhagen