Name	
Name: Roger Anthony	
Part B – Your representation on the further Main Modifications and/or supporting documents	
If you wish to make more than one representation, please complete a separate <u>Part B form</u> for each representation and clearly print your name at the top of this form.	
4. Which further Main Modification and/or supporting document does your representation relate to? (Representations are only invited on further Main Modifications within the Schedule. These are denoted by red text. The reference number can be found in the first column in red i.e. MM2, MM11 and each Supporting Document has a reference number beginning with ED). Any representation on a supporting document should clearly state (in question 6) which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific further Main	
MM no. 11 Supporting document reference ED141	
5. Do you consider this further Main Modification and/or supporting document : (Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms)	
a) Is Legally compliant Yes $\sqrt{}$ No $\sqrt{}$	
b) Sound Yes ? No ?	
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail	
Positively prepared \[\] Effective \[\]	
Justified \(\sqrt{} \) Consistent with national policy \(\sqrt{} \)	
6. Please give details of why you consider the further Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise and concise as possible. <u>If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words</u> . If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to cooperate, please also use this box to set out your comments.	
I do not see how a Plan can be sound if it only sets a minimum number of homes. Without a maximum number, it is as long as a piece of string. In October 2021 the then Inspector directly sought views as to whether the 2018-Based Household Projections by the National Statistics Office should be adopted. I can find no record of a reply other than a confirmation of receiving my response. This aspect may also be relevant to MM15.	

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the further Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words.
The Plan needs to be sound in explaining what housing projections have been adopted and why. Also it should explain why 11,400 has become a "minimum" rather than "approximate". The Plan must also clarify why there is to be no maximum figure for homes, otherwise it is a misleading Plan.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
8. Have you attached any documents with this representation which specifically relate to a further MM or supporting document?
Yes No
Signature: R Anthony Date 07/12/2022