

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 62 Name Miriam Urgelles-Coll

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I believe that sites such as Jessel Green are highly used recreational spaces for the neighbourhood. Besides, there are other sites around the area that would fit the purpose better, for instance, the brownfield land in the run-down bits of Langston Road, or the Clinton Cards site. Similarly, destroying a green like Jessel Green would destroy the leafy suburban environment that we have in Loughton. It is extremely valuable to keep public open space as there are many other sites that cannot be accessed without a car or are just for private use. If housing was built in Jessel Green, the well-being of the residents, including children and dog-walkers would be highly affected.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The green belt land should not be under consideration as it is necessary to keep recreational space for the public and future generations to enjoy.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 62

Name Miriam

Urgelles-Coll

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Loughton High Road and The Broadway are the two main areas within my reach, and I would like those areas to be protected as shopping areas, with less cafes, barbers and estate agents collapsing the streets. However, to promote these areas, it is paramount that parking facilities close to these are maintained. For instance, the plan to build on the Traps Hill parking space seems contradictory towards the promotion of the High Street, where are people going to park if that location is built on?

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

It mostly promotes low-skilled labour, but it is still positive.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

As noted above, I believe that sites such as Jessel Green are highly used recreational spaces for the neighbourhood. Besides, there are other sites around the area that would fit the purpose better, for instance,

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

the brownfield land in the run-down bits of Langston Road, or the Clinton Cards site. Similarly, destroying a green like Jessel Green would destroy the leafy suburban environment that we have in Loughton. It is extremely valuable to keep public open space as there are many other sites that cannot be accessed without a car or are just for private use. If housing was built in Jessel Green, the well-being of the residents, including children and dog-walkers would be highly affected.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The infrastructure provision does not seem to take into account the necessity to increase primary and secondary school places in order to take in the amount of people moving into Loughton with these new residences. Similarly, there does not seem to be a plan with regard to the doctor surgeries that would need to be expanded in the area. Both schools and surgeries are already at breaking point with the current level of residents, more means that there needs to be more investment in those areas.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?