



## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

| Sta    | keholder ID                                | 1110  | Name  | Martin George                               | Wood                                  | North Weald<br>Action group  |
|--------|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Method |  | Survey  |   |   |                                       |  |
| Dat    | te   |   |   |   |                                       |  |
|        |  | elements of the ful   | II response su                              | ch as formatting and im                     | ages may no                           | of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation t appear accurately. Should you wish to review of the Ifconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk                                |
| Sui    | rvey Respo                                 | nse:  |   |   |                                       |  |
| 1.     | Do you agre                                | e with the overal   | II vision that                              | the Draft Plan sets o                       | ut for Eppir                          | ng Forest District?  |
|        | Strongly dis                               | sagree  |   |   |                                       |  |
|        | Please expla                               | ain your choice ir  | Question 1:                                 |   |                                       |  |
|        | parking at s<br>Dentist, hos<br>population | stations beyond i<br>spitals, railways,<br>of North Weal, P | mpossible a<br>schools etc<br>Please can ye | nd destroying green<br>:.Which can not cope | belt land,<br>at the mo<br>will enhan | ngested increasing journey times making<br>let alone all the other services, DR,<br>ment and you want to double the<br>ce my life, we moved to North Weald to<br>e it. |
| 2.     | Do you agre                                | e with the overal   | II vision that                              | the Draft Plan sets o                       | ut for Eppir                          | ng Forest District?  |
|        | Strongly dis                               | sagree  |   |   |                                       |  |
|        | Please expla                               | ain your choice ir  | Question 2:                                 |   |                                       |  |
|        |  | can reduce cong<br>area cannot cop                          |   | rove all Infrastructur                      | e (see abo                            | ve) Improve on quality of life (your   |
| 3.     | Do you agre                                | • •   | sals for deve                               | elopment around Harlo                       | ow?                                   |  |
|        | Please expla                               | ain your choice in  | Question 3:                                 |   |                                       |  |

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1110 Name Martin George Wood





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

## Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

We don't need employment if the population has not been allowed to \*illegible\* due to Government inability to control our boarders. People have due in two world wars to keep control of our boarders how can we now say your efforts were in vain and your life was wasted.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Wood





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Unsuitable due to previously mentioned current issues.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Wood

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It can not cope now with existing population.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1110 Name Martin George





| 8. | An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any |
|----|---|
|    | comments you may have on this.  |
|    |   |
|    |   |

Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?
 There is no consideration to local people and their environment.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)