

Stakeholder Reference:
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	Helen	
Last Name	Iles	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: 2.69, 5.128
Policy: H 4 Traveller site development
Policies Map: Yes
Site Reference: None of the above
Settlement: Roydon

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know
Sound: No
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Effective
Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

2.69.3 states that additional traveller sites may be considered at sites " with temporary permissions or unauthorised sites that may potentially be suitable for regularisation". There should not be any unauthorised use of land. The rule of law must always be applied and any illegal use of land should be ended by enforcement proceedings, otherwise it is a dereliction of duty by the Council. The illegal and unsightly use of Roydon Chalet Estate as a traveller site is an abomination - as a matter of public policy it is wholly wrong in principle for illegal activity to be rewarded by "regularisation".

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Para 2.69.3 should be removed in its entirety as unauthorised occupation of land is not lawful in any circumstances.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

No

Signature: Helen Iles Date: 25/01/2018

DISCLAIMER

This email is for the use of the intended recipients only. Any opinion or advice it contains is that of the sender and does not bind the authority in any way. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender immediately and then delete the message. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this email.

We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise that you carry out your own virus checks on an attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

Internet email is not a secure communication medium,
and we advise that you observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Epping Forest District Council

Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk