



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	4697	Name	Gillian	green	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plad images may not appear accurately. Should you og Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
	Disagree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
					for the people of North Weald. It will double belt) around the village and destroy habita	
2.	Agree		verall vision that ce in Question 2:	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
3.	Disagree Please expla	ain your choi	roposals for deve ce in Question 3: central line, hea		Harlow? not cope with large scale development.	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4697 Name Gillian green





4.	o you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	Yes Buckhurst Hill?						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	Loughton High Road?						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
	Only if the proposed shopping area contains retail shops and no more restaurants and cafes						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

green

Stakeholder ID 4697 Name Gillian





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Too many in North Weald which will destroy the village atmosphere. North Weald already has one site for travellers which has already exceeded it's planning permission. We do not want another travellers site, especially in the middle of a housing development.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I agree with the reservation as the whether it will be possible to provide the necessary hospital and GP surgeries having regard to the shortage of doctors in the two surgeries which cover N. Weald and the general shortage of doctors in England.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4697 Name Gillian green





An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4697

Name Gillian

green