



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2481	Name	Gary	Davis		
Met	thod	Survey					
Dat	е						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting a	ncil's database of responses to th d images may not appear accurate g Policy team: <u>Idfconsult@epping</u>	ely. Should you wish to review	
Sur	vey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest Distric	ct?	
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	The proposa will be paid		ouilds is detailed	l, however the	e is a lack of information on i	nfrastructure and how it	
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest Distric	ot?	
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:				
	The use of I	orown field s	sites would help	preserve our G	reen belt.		
3.	Do you agre	e with the nr	oposals for deve	lonment around	Harlow?		
J.	Strongly dis	•	oposais for deve	iopinent around	Turiow.		
	0.5	·	ce in Question 3:				
	•	•	on Green belt la	and.			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in	
	Epping?	

No opinion

но оришен

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The existing roads are already overcrowded, increasing the amount of heavy goods vehicles on roads which are currently inferior would cause even greater problems.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Green belt sites have been preferred to brown field sites. The infrastructure cannot cope with current levels without the addition of the proposed 220 homes. Flooding is already an issue and it would be greatly increased with the additional hard standings.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The ARUP assessment is misguided as the local school is shown as having vacancies when it does not and the areas around the proposed sites are shown as uncongested during rush hour.

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

There is no probable reason given to build on Green belt instead of derelict land or brown field sites.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

A thorough re-examination should take place regarding the use of brown sites instead of green belt, which is what gives Nazeing its rural setting. The latest proposals to withdraw local bus services will increase the need for car usage within the local community increasing congestion and polution.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)