

Stakeholder Reference:
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	David	
Last Name	Pickett	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 21

Supporting document reference: F. Epping Forest District Council Green Infrastructure Strategy (ED124A-G/ EB159A-G)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified, Consistent with national policy

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

None.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

What is the point of have a designated green belt area, if the authorities can come along and change that designation every time they want to allow building on the land.

It is bad enough that the area now being called Gilden Park and the associated road for junction 7A have ruined a lovely part of Old Harlow and Churchgate Street but now EFDC want to allow building all the way along to the Longlands bridge and across to the M11.

We need this area to remain farmland, to restrict the sprawl of Harlow, and to stop it joining Sheering and merging it into one.

Sheering is an old and lovely village it does not need to become part of Harlow new town or any other 'garden town' EFDC would like to call it.

We need to preserve the setting and character of our villages not swallow them up at every opportunity to form part of the urban sprawl.

This will also set a precedent for the Green Belt through here, how long before the whole of Sheering is swallowed up, when the authorities want to build more housing as far as Hatfield Heath.

The loss of natural habitat and valuable countryside is also of real importance. This land has significant natural beauty and wildlife habitats with water courses from the surrounding higher ground draining into Pinceys Brook where the lower lying ground is a flood plain. Many species of wildlife from Voles, Stoats, newts and ground nesting birds; to Deer and badgers; birds of prey including, Buzzards, kestrels and Red Kites can regularly be seen here. Development of the land will damage the delicate ecosystems that support this wildlife. The land is a flood plain and any development will exacerbate flooding to a damaging level. The Buzzards and Red Kites have already moved north over sheering where they were not seen before which is highly likely due to the loss of their habitat from to the development of the M11 J7a link road.

The B183 is busy enough as it is, this is only going get worse with the new junction, and turning that large area into firstly a building site and then a commuter hub will devastate the existing homes along this route.

Heavy lorries, other large vehicles and hundreds of cars speed through the village now, potentially risking childrens lives when trying to attend the small primary school along the main road.

Further more if we continue to expand our towns to swallow up farm land and join towns to villages it will be a great loss on so many levels.

All through the pandemic it has been advocated that the countryside has been good for peoples mental health and now all you want to build all over it.

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 202

Supporting document reference: F. Epping Forest District Council Green Infrastructure Strategy (ED124A-G/ EB159A-G)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified, Consistent with national policy

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

None.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Is there any need to expand housing development in Sheering,
It will not benefit the residents it will just bring buyers in from outside the area.
Young people that would like to stay in the village probably could not able to purchase one of these properties.

The trees on this site help to dampen the sounds of the M11 which are loud enough as it is, taking that barrier away will increase the noise to the existing properties along Crown Close.

Signature: Dave Pickett Date:
22/09/2021