



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2911	Name	Linda	Blake	
Me	thod	Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consulta d images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to revig Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Sui	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ets out for Epping Forest District?	
	Disagree					
	Please expla	in your choi	ce in Question 1:			
	Loss of clea	r and defina	ble Green Belt b	ooundaries		
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ets out for Epping Forest District?	
	Disagree					
	Please expla	in your choid	ce in Question 2:			
3.		e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?	
	Disagree					
	•	•	ce in Question 3:			
	The infrastr take more t		not be able to co	ope. The infrast	ructure should be put in place first. The roads cann	ot

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	Yes						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	No opinion						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No opinion						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
	No development should compromise the Green Belt						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

23% increase in the size of the village is too large.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Insufficiently explained. With no money available for improving infrastructure to the standard required prior to building, the impact will be detrimental.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)