



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	4662	Name	J E	Ambridge		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to t ind images may not appear accura ing Policy team: Idfconsult@eppin	ately. Should you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Disagree						
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:				
2.	Disagree		erall vision that ce in Question 2:	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest Distr	ict?	
3.	, ,	•	oposals for devel ce in Question 3:	opment around	Harlow?		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)



5.



Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
Epping?
Buckhurst Hill?
Loughton Broadway?
Chipping Ongar?
Loughton High Road?
Waltham Abbey?
Yes
Please explain your choice in Question 4:
Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
No opinion
Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Almost Total exclusion of brown field sites in the proposals for Nazeing. Surely it is preferable to build on Brown Field sites rather than destroying valuable Green Belt land. Infrastructure in village will not be able to cope with the number of houses proposed. Government guidelines in the national planning framework require land of lesser environmental value and previously developed Brown field land to be selected in view of the number of derelict nurseries and other commercial sites in the parish of Nazeing, why have these guidelines been ignored?

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Primary School and GP surgery are already fully stretched. Flooding is a real possibility in St Leonards road, Nazeing - It has flooded several times in the recent past. Proposed development. On green belt land will exacerbate the problem.

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)