

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2874 Name Jenny Storey

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Need to home a growing population. Need to make sure that it is in the right area with correct infrastructure in place

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

We need to maintain green belt land at all cost otherwise it sets a standard for the future

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Land around Harlow in green belt. Surely it would be better to develop a village in lower density of Epping forest district.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2874

Name Jenny

Storey

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Hoe Lane is not designed for lorries, no is Nazeing. Nazeing has only country roads that have too much congestion now especially when accidents occur on the M11 M 25 A10 roads . Most workers are migratory and have to travel from outside areas.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Green belt land for proposed sites. Why not use the ignored derelict brownfield land We have no infrastructure which will not support this amount of people. I.e no bus service no secondary schools, flooding

often occurs in village, poor roads and sewer pipes. Impact of more lorries will have a impact on roads even more. I.e it can take up to an hour to get through Nazeing at peak times, which should be a 10 min journey.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

A proper study of the requirements are needed before you can do any development in the area.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Need to demonstrate need to build on primary Green belt. Impact on nature and taking away the character of a village Destruction of land that produces food.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

This is a village which is surrounded by green belt and this is how it should remain. We have not enough infrastructure to support the expansion of Nazeing. No secondary schools in the village we have to travel by car as their is no bus service which hightigtens the problem of congestion in the area.
