



Representation form for Submission Version of the Epping Forest District Local Plan 2011-2033 (Regulation 19 publication)

This form should be used to make representations on the Submission Version of the Epping Forest District Local Plan which has been published. Please complete and return by 29 January 2018 at 5pm. An electronic version of the form is available at <http://www.efdclocalplan.org/>

Please refer to the guidance notes available before completing this form.

Please return any representations to: Planning Policy, Epping Forest District Council, Civic Offices, 323 High Street, Epping, Essex, CM16 4BZ

Or email them to: LDFconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

BY 5pm on 29 January 2018

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Please attach any documents you wish to submit with your representation

Part A

1. Are you making this representation as? (Please tick as appropriate)

a) Resident or Member of the General Public or

b) Statutory Consultee, Local Authority or Town and Parish Council or

c) Landowner or

d) Agent

Other organisation (please specify)

2. Personal Details**3. Agent's Details (if applicable)**

Title	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Mr"/>
First Name	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Oliver"/>
Last Name	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Bell"/>
Job Title (where relevant)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Associate Director"/>
Organisation (where relevant)	<input type="text" value="St Congar Provincial c/o Agent"/>	<input type="text" value="Nexus Planning"/>
Address Line 1	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="3 Weybridge Business Park"/>
Line 2	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Addlestone Road"/>
Line 3	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Weybridge"/>
Line 4	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Post Code	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="KT15 2BW"/>
Telephone Number	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="01932 837850"/>
E-mail Address	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="o.bell@nexusplanning.co.uk"/>

Part B – If necessary please complete a separate Part B form for each representation

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate?
(Please specify where appropriate)

Paragraph Policy Policies Map

Site Reference Settlement

5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan:
*Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms

a) Is Legally compliant Yes No

b) Sound Yes No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail*

Positively prepared Effective

Justified Consistent with national policy

c) Complies with the duty to co-operate Yes No

6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments

Please see attached representations

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please see attached representations

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

8. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the hearings

Yes, I wish to participate at the hearings

9. If you wish to participate at the hearings, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Due to the complex and significant nature of our concerns, it is vital that we are able to participate in the oral hearing sessions.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.


10. Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination (Please tick)

Yes No

11. Have you attached any documents with this representation?

Yes No

Signature:



Date:

Representations to Table 2.3

1. Nexus Planning is instructed by St Congar Provincial to prepare representations to the Submission version of the Epping Forest District Local Plan (EFDLP). St Congar Provincial control land at Old Farm, Chigwell (the site).
2. We object to table 2.3 of the EFDLP, which identifies the suggested components of housing land supply over the period 2011-2033. As detailed within our other representations, St Congar Provincial consider that the OAN for District is demonstrably too low and therefore the housing requirement of 11,400 should be significantly increased.
3. However, even if the OAN for the District is not increased, the housing requirement should be increased to 12,573 dwellings to align with the Council's own calculation of OAN, given the Plan already seeks to deliver this scale of development.
4. Furthermore, for the reasons set out in our representations to Policy SP 2, it is considered that the level of growth proposed at Harlow should be decreased.
5. Given the above, Table 2.3 is unsound as it is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy.

Suggested Change

6. The full OAN for the HMA should be identified and a thorough assessment carried out as to the level of growth the HMA can sustainably accommodate.
7. Even if the Council's calculation of OAN is not amended, the housing requirement should increase to 12,573 dwellings to align with the Council's OAN figure, as the Council considered that this level of growth can be sustainably delivered.