



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	1590	Name	Catherine	Syer
Method		Survey			
Da	te				
		elements of the	e full response suc	ch as formatting and i	il's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation mages may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:			
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?
	Disagree				
	Please expla	ain your choic	e in Question 1:		
	It will not b	e good for lo	cal community.		
2.	Strongly dis	agree	erall vision that e in Question 2:	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?
	All in my pr	evious email			
3.	5	•	oposals for deve e in Question 3:	lopment around Ha	rlow?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1590 Name Catherine Syer





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No opinion					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	Yes					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	Yes					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Catherine

Stakeholder ID 1590

Syer





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1590

Name Catherine

Syer





	Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:
7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this. Another waste of money, how much is EPDC paying for this service.
9.	Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan? no.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1590 Name Catherine Syer