

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

| | | | |
|----------------|------|------|----------------|
| Stakeholder ID | 3751 | Name | jonathan pratt |
|----------------|------|------|----------------|

| | |
|--------|--------|
| Method | Letter |
|--------|--------|

| | |
|------|-----------|
| Date | 4/12/2016 |
|------|-----------|

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Letter or Email Response:

I am writing with my comments on the draft Local plan and why I object to the proposals to use the following four sites for housing: Jessel Green, Debden Station car park, Rochford Green Borders Lane playing field The loss of Jessel Green, Rochford Green and Borders Lane Playing Field will have a negative impact on the well-being of the residents, who use these spaces for recreation, play, sports, local events and dog walking. This contributes to healthy lifestyles within the community. These green areas are the equivalent to parks in Loughton. I have lived near Jessel Green for 17 years and walk daily on Jessel Green to exercise both myself and my dog. My daughter enjoys playing on Jessel Green playing with her friends it is a safe environment for our children to exercise and play on where we can safely see them. Jessel Green is unsuitable for housing as it has an underground stream running alongside it, which should not be disturbed. Parts of the of the Green are already . subject to flooding and the risk of flooding would be increased. The proposed blocks of flats would not be in-keeping with the local existing housing, which are all two storeys. The proposed new builds would also block sunlight to existing properties on Jessel Drive Jessel Green is also used by the air ambulance Reducing the landing area to a small spot at the edge of a housing development on the green would be dangerous. I would ask that you take the opportunity to review this application and to also grant Village Green status to Jessel Green as part of the Local Plan and save this area for the current and future residents of Loughton as previously requested 2 years ago. Which we still have not had a response too. There is insufficient infrastructure to support the additional residents in this area. Schools are already at capacity and local GP surgeries are at maximum capacity. The extra traffic these flats would bring to the area would cause more traffic delays to our already busy roads. Sewage for Loughton I Debden is treated at the Beckton Sewage which is already treating 3.5 million people will this cope with all of the new developments all the Councils are proposing to build? The additional housing proposed would increase the number of commuters using the Central Line. I use the central line on a weekly basis and it is overcrowded already with very little chance of getting a seat in the early morning rush hour. The Buses during rush our are already overcrowded with School children, which will result more people driving to train station or work causing yet again. More traffic and even less are parking spaces I understand that Developers proposed 600-plus home 'Garden Village' on the border of Laughton and Chigwell. The idea of the Roding Garden Village was referred to by town councillors at Tuesday's council. meeting when the council finatised its response to he district councils Draft Local Plan. Lanvest Developments Ltd, states that 'Roding Village - a new garden village- can deliver significant growth close to the Debden centre (within a 15-minute walk of the Tube station) on a site that will not add pressure to the existing infrastructure of surrounding villages. I would fully support this plan to develop a Garden Village that would not put the strain on the loughton area unlike the proposed existing plan.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

| | | | |
|----------------|------|------|----------------|
| Stakeholder ID | 3751 | Name | jonathan pratt |
|----------------|------|------|----------------|

