

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2499 Name Aleksandra Clark

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

In my opinion the Draft Local Plan will not ensure an enhanced quality of life for the people who already are living and working in the Epping area. The Plan has been prepared very poorly without any serious understanding of the effects of introducing a significant amount of new properties on the community/town. At the moment the plan has not addressed how the council is proposing to solve the issues of additional pressure on roads, GPs, schools/nursuries, public safety etc. Currently Epping has a big issue with the quality of roads, pavements, lack of parking etc.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

It is really difficult to believe that any kind of consideration has been put into marking the areas for development within the Epping area based on the plan presented in the Draft, i.e. the proposed sites and how the local infrastructure will support these developments.

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2499 Name Aleksandra Clark

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I do not know Harlow that well to comment on the Council's proposal. However, as Harlow is the newer town, it should be the main focus of the development.

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

**No opinion**

Buckhurst Hill?

**No opinion**

Loughton Broadway?

**No opinion**

Chipping Ongar?

**No opinion**

Loughton High Road?

**No opinion**

Waltham Abbey?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

It is hard to consider the proposed plan seriously. It is transparent that the council has a very limited understanding of Epping and the challenges that it faces every day, e.g. the amount of traffic, lack of parking. Otherwise, the town car parks and station car park would not have been marked as suitable sites for development! The proposal of developing sites at the south of Epping is also ludicrous: SR-0069, SR-0069/33 and SR-0113B show how limited local knowledge the author of the plan had. Bridge Hill and Brook Road are

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

already effected by traffic and are used as a parking locations for commuters. The plan does not also address how the council is planning to manage the significant increase in traffic. There is no consideration of how the

additional houses will affect Ivy Chimneys school. Is there a new school under consideration? If yes, where will it be built as all the land is considered for housing?

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

- 
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The council might recognise but fails to present how it's planning to support future growth. Stating that the Council will deliver is simply too vague. It is a waste of time and money producing plans and rolling them out for public consultation if they do not explain how the vital issues will be addressed. The Site allocation plan for Epping does not present land allocated for new schools, additional GPs or road alignment. How the traffic load, pressure on Ivy Chimneys School will be addressed is still a mystery to me. These are very important issues for people who live in the proposed development areas and appear to have been ignored by the council.

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?