



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID Method		1672 Survey	Name	john	Jones	John Jones	
Dat	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting a	nd images may not ap	esponses to the Draft Local Plan opear accurately. Should you w nsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:					
1.	Do you agre	e with the o	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping I	Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:				
	The premise researched		ed housing need	is not accurate	and the needs of t	he local community are po	oorly
2.	Do you agree		verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping I	Forest District?	
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:				
	You have hi	ighlighted de	evelopment with	in the Green B	elt and this is not a	acceptable.	
3.	Do you agre	e with the p	roposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	Agree	·	•	•			
	•	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1672 Name john Jones





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
••	Epping?						
	No Buckhurst Hill? No Loughton Broadway? No						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No No						
	Waltham Abbey?						
Yes							
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
	As longt as there is no development on Green Belt land.						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Jones

Stakeholder ID 1672 Name john





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

There must be no development on Green Belt land. The railway tracks make a clear divide between housing and Green Belt. No development must be allowed on Green Belt land.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1672

Name john

Jones





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1672 Name john Jones