Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public			
Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Mr		
First Name	Robert		
Last Name	Belson		
Job Title (where relevant)			
Organisation (where relevant)			
Address			
Post Code			

Stakeholder Reference: Document Reference:

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

Part A

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no:

Supporting document reference: F. Epping Forest District Council Green Infrastructure Strategy (ED124A-G/ EB159A-G)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Planto be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified, Consistent with national policy

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Our infrustructure cant cope.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Supporting roads inadequate. This area is green belt for a reason. We need green areas out side of our towns.

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 21

Supporting document reference: F. Epping Forest District Council Green Infrastructure Strategy (ED124A-G/ EB159A-G)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Planto be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified, Consistent with national policy

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Poor infrastructurw

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Inadequate roads. Green belt for a reason. Build the houses that you have already planned or thatsre are in disrepair before building more. We need to keep or villages as they are part of ou history and the character of england. Part of the ara is flood plane and should not be built on. The wildlife that we will loose will be unacctable.

Signature: Robert belson Date:

18/09/2021