

Stakeholder ID 2865



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

cherry

Name

McCredie

Me	thod	Survey					
Da	te						
		me elements of the ful	I using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation I response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review onse, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk				
Su	rvey Res	sponse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Strongly	disagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	Yes I do build an retireme on the fi when th	ed. Parking is a nigh ride a bike but that other one but there ent of Drs Lowry & E ieldsthis takes mo e pound is worth le	ny new dwellings in Epping will not enhance the quality of life. The roads are tmare. What will happen to our high street & market if we cannot park in the town? is impractical for shopping. Schools? G.P. surgeries yes you might be able to are not sufficient G.P.s available to staff it. At the High Street surgery after the eaxter they are struggling to find replacements which is causing a problem. Building are land out of food production making us more reliant on foreign imports at a time as thus increasing inflation & making us more vulnerable. Flooding in Brook Road & buncil, stand up for Epping!				
2.	Strongly	gree with the overal disagree xplain your choice in	I vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District? Question 2:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2865 Name cherry McCredie

This is not sustainable.





3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Do we have G.P.s willing to staff the surgerie? PAH is under immense pressure already. This whole proposal is preposterous. We do not have sufficient police. We are looking to bring more people into the area but we do not have the infrastructure to cope. Let us hear about plans for schools, roads, doctors & police before we embark on expanding our local community

4. E	Do vou	agree	with	the	proposed	shopping	area in
------	--------	-------	------	-----	----------	----------	---------

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Where will they park?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2865





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Please see above. Also air pollution from more vehicles. Pressure on roads, parking, doctors, hospital, schools & turning Epping from a nice market town to a place where people are angry with each other because of the inappropriate planning which you are encouraging.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2865 Name cherry McCredie





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There is a national shortage of G.P.s. It will probably not be possible to staff your new surgeries.

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I do not believe that it is sustainable.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)