



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2787	Name	Paul	Norris	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting a		ses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation accurately. Should you wish to review eeppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Fores	t District?
	Disagree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Disagree			the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Fores	t District?
	Please expla	in your choi	ce in Question 2:			
3.	Do you agre	e with the pi	roposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?	
	•	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	Yes						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	Yes						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	Yes						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	Yes						
	Loughton High Road?						
	Yes						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	Yes						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Leave the sports centre alone, it is a central part of the community. You're thinging about putting up houses, but what about the road network, it cannot take any more traffic. More houses will need the sports centre, if more houses the sports centre will need to be expanded not removed and also updated

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Need to expand GP, so you dont' had to wait so long for an appointment. Transport is poor in Ongar. Don't need any more schools in Ongar

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)