

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2735 Name julie Ayling

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

....Redacted.... We are aware that we should look to enhance the quality of life as our children grow up, however we must make our decisions with great thought to best protect the community and the area we love.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Harlow offers plenty of opportunities for long term further development moving out of the town. Epping town can take some development but we should not be looking at the already heavily congested areas in and around the town as parking and traffic is already at maximum capacity. So how does this provide a better/healthy future for our children? How does this provide room for growth for our children to become independent with their own form of transport? If building in and around Epping is a must, then I would strongly promote areas that would allow transport to go to and from the proposed development without affecting the already congested Epping.

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2735

Name julie

Ayling

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**Strongly agree**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

The infrastructure of Harlow Town has been very well thought out, and as this is a new town there are many options to allow a flowing traffic system. Also, see previous comments.

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

**No opinion**

Buckhurst Hill?

**No opinion**

Loughton Broadway?

**No opinion**

Chipping Ongar?

**No opinion**

Loughton High Road?

**No opinion**

Waltham Abbey?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

A great way to provide employment and and to promote well being within the community would be to create a state of the art sports facility on the old St.John's school site. This would also provide a great social space to bring the community together for generations to come. You only have to look at surrounding towns to see that this is a positive addition to the community in the town.

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Some of the proposed sites have inadequate infrastructure to cope with access to and from these sites. Today and for generations today as these areas are already struggling to cope with the volume of traffic and parking.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**Not enough specific information on proposed road infrastructure.**

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?